LAW REVERSIONARY INTEREST SOCIETY, LIMITED

24, LINCOLN'S INN FIELDS, W.C.

ESTABLISHED 1853.

Capital £400,000
Debentures £180,000

REVERSIONS BOUGHT. LOANS MADE THEREON.

Proposal Forms and full information may be had at the Society's Offices.

W. OSCAR NASH, F.I.A., *Actuary.*

PARTRIDGE & COOPER,

LAW and PARLIAMENTARY PRINTERS and STATIONERS.

LAW WRITING ON THE PREMISES BY PERMANENT STAFF.

191 & 192, FLEET-STREET, and 1 & 2, CHANCERY-LANE, E.C.

MIDLAND RAILWAY HOTELS.

LONDON - MIDLAND GRAND - St. Pancras Station, N.W.
(Within Shilling cab fare of Gray's-inn, Inns of Court, Temple Bar, and Law Courts, &c. 'Buses to all parts every minute. Close to King's Cross Metropolitan Railway Station. The Venetian Rooms are available for Public and Private Dinners, Arbitration Meetings, &c. New Parisian Restaurant for French Cooking and fine Wines.)

LIVERPOOL - ADELPHI - Close to Central (Midland) Station.
BRADFORD - MIDLAND - Excellent Restaurant.
LEEDS - QUEEN'S - In Centre of Town.
DERBY - MIDLAND - For Peak of Derbyshire.
MORECAMBE - MIDLAND - Tennis Lawn to Seashore. Golf.
Residential Hotel—HEYSHAM TOWER, nr MORECAMBE. Lovely Country. Golf.
Tariffs on Application. *Telegraphic Address "Midotel."*

WILLIAM TOWLE, Manager Midland Railway Hotels.

X *IMPORTANT TO SOLICITORS* X

In Drawing LEASES or MORTGAGES of

LICENSED PROPERTY

To see that the Insurance Covenants include a policy covering the risk of LOSS OR FORFEITURE OF THE LICENSE.

Suitable clauses, settled by Counsel, can be obtained on application to

THE LICENSES INSURANCE CORPORATION AND GUARANTEE FUND, LIMITED,

24, MOORGATE STREET, LONDON, E.C.

Mortgages Guaranteed on Licensed Properties promptly, without special valuation and at low rates.

LEGAL AND GENERAL LIFE ASSURANCE SOCIETY.

ESTABLISHED OVER HALF A CENTURY.

10, FLEET STREET, LONDON.

FREE,
SIMPLE,
THE PERFECTED SYSTEM OF LIFE ASSURANCE.
AND
SECURE.

FUNDS - - - £3,000,000. INCOME - - - £373,000.
YEARLY BUSINESS - £1,000,000. BUSINESS IN FORCE - £11,000,000.

TRUSTEES.

The Right Hon. Lord HALSBURY (Lord High Chancellor of England).
The Hon. Mr. Justice KEKEWICH.
The Right Hon. Sir JAMES PARKER DEANE, Q.C., D.C.L.
WILLIAM WILLIAMS, Esq.
RICHARD PENNINGTON, Esq.

DIRECTORS.

Bacon, His Honour Judge.
Davey, The Right Hon. Lord.
Deane, The Right Hon. Sir James Parker, Q.C., D.C.L.
Ellis, Edmund Henry, Esq.
Finch, Arthur J., Esq.
Frere, Geo. Edgar, Esq.
Garth, The Right Hon. Sir Richard, Q.C.
Harrison, Chas., Esq., M.P.
Healey, C. E. H. Chadwyck, Esq., Q.C.
Johnson, Charles P., Esq.
Kekewich, The Hon. Mr. Justice.
Ladlow, The Right Hon. Lord.
Masterman, Henry Chauncy, Esq.
Mathew, The Hon. Mr. Justice.
Meek, A. Grant, Esq. (Devizes).
Mellor, The Right Hon. John W., Q.C., M.P.
Mills, Richard, Esq.
Morrell, Frederic P., Esq. (Oxford).
Pennington, Richard, Esq.
Rowcliffe, Edward Lee, Esq.
Saltwell, Wm. Henry, Esq.
Williams, C. Reynolds, Esq.
Williams, Romer, Esq.
Williams, William, Esq.

VOL. XLI., No. 45.

The Solicitors' Journal and Reporter.

LONDON, SEPTEMBER 4, 1897.

*** The Editor cannot undertake to return rejected contributions, and copies should be kept of all articles sent by writers who are not on the regular staff of the JOURNAL.

Contents.

CURRENT TOPICS 745
THE RULE OF FALSA DEMONSTRATIO ... 747
REVIEWS 747
LAW SOCIETIES 749
NEW ORDERS, &c. 749
LEGAL NEWS 749
WINDING UP NOTICES 750
CREDITORS' NOTICES 751
BANKRUPTCY NOTICES 751

Cases Reported this Week.

(BEFORE THE VACATION JUDGE.)

Companies Act, 1862, Re, and Re David Jones & Co. (Lim.) 748
Gould v. Gould 748

(CASE OF LAST SITTINGS.)

Reg. v. Burton and Another, Justices, and the Incorporated Law Society, Ex parte Young 748

CURRENT TOPICS.

WE COMMENCE printing this week the statutes which are of practical utility to the profession. The only Acts of first importance which the past session has produced are the Land Transfer Act and the Workmen's Compensation Act, and neither of them have any immediate operation. The Workmen's Compensation Act does not come into operation till the 1st of July, 1898, nor the Land Transfer Act till the 1st of January, 1898; and with respect to the compulsory clauses there will be further delay in obtaining the necessary consent of the county council. There is no consolidating statute affecting England and Wales, the only measure of this kind being the Public Health (Scotland) Act. Altogether the legislative output of the year is neither bulky nor, with the exceptions above-mentioned, important.

THE DEATH of Sir GEORGE OSBORNE MORGAN terminates an eminently useful career. Although he did not attain the foremost rank in politics, he was an influential member of the House of Commons, and both as Chairman of Committees and as an active legislator he made his mark in that assembly. In a popular sense he was chiefly known by his championship of the Burials Act, 1880, by the abolition of flogging in the army, effected by the Army Discipline Act, 1881, and by his advocacy of Welsh Disestablishment. But his energies were also devoted to advancing legislation of a more technical character, and his skill and reputation as a lawyer enabled him to render valuable and effective service. For some time after he took silk, and while still in practice at the Bar, he was a somewhat frequent contributor to these columns.

THE ACT to amend the law respecting the Metropolitan Water Companies (60 & 61 Vict. c. 56), which came into operation on the 1st of September, is a measure which should prove of great value to Londoners. Under this Act the water consumers have a ready remedy placed in their hands to compel the water companies to fulfil the statutory obligations imposed by the various Metropolitan Water Acts. Complaint of failure to perform a statutory duty may be made by a local authority or by a water consumer to the Railway and Canal Commissioners, and the Commissioners, if satisfied of such failure, may order the company to fulfil the duty, and in addition may impose any penalty to which the companies are liable under any of the Metropolitan Water Acts. A similar remedy is given in case of complaint as to the quantity or quality of water supplied, and the Commissioners may also award damages to the complainant. Under section 2 of the Act power is given to the local authorities to give financial aid to any consumer to obtain a decision from the Commissioners "on any question which appears to be of interest to water consumers within the district of such local authorities with respect to the rights, duties, and liabilities of any of the metropolitan water companies in reference to the quantity or

quality of water supplied, or the charges made by them." It is further provided by the Act that the Commissioners shall, in their annual report, include a return of all proceedings taken under it.

THE JUDGMENT recently delivered (through the public press) in the matter of the title of LUDLOW of HEYWOOD contains matter of extreme interest as well to the physiologist as to the genealogist and the historian. The learned Lord Justice, whose assumption of the title has aroused speculation, holds, upon the facts, that among the ancestors of his mother "are to be found EDMUND LUDLOW, general of the forces in Ireland in the time of CROMWELL the regicide." But it is recorded that this EDMUND LUDLOW—the "wooden-headed LUDLOW" of Carlyle—died without issue; hence it would appear to be now laid down that one may have an "ancestor" who had no descendants, and to the legal conundrum recently considered by the Court of Appeal, "When is a place not a place?" we have now added a still more perplexing one, "When may a childless man be an ancestor?" Again, the Lord Justice intimates that EDMUND LUDLOW, "general of the forces in Ireland in the time of CROMWELL the regicide . . . *retired* to Vevay, in Switzerland, and lived there until his death." We do not know what meaning this passage was intended to convey, but on the face of it one might surmise that the "wooden-headed" one, occupied with the forces in Ireland, had no art or part in the crime of the "regicide," and retired to Vevay in disgust at the impious act. How very odd it is that history should have hitherto classed EDMUND LUDLOW with the "regicides," even saying that his name is on the list of those who signed Charles the First's death-warrant, and should record that he "retired" to Vevay to save his neck, or, at all events, his liberty! So that, if we are to accept the finding of the Lord Justice as to his mother's ancestor, we are driven to the painful conclusion that the holder of the title of LUDLOW must have "regicide" blood in his veins; but this we may regard as absolutely impossible, whence it follows that the "wooden-headed" one could not have been an "ancestor."

IN A letter to the *Times* of the 1st inst. Mr. THOMAS SNOW has revived the scheme for the saving of judicial power which he advocated in the *Law Quarterly Review* some years ago. In his article on the "Waste of Judicial Power" (Vol. VII., p. 256) he advanced the indisputable proposition that the fact that there is a large quantity of work in arrear in one or more of the courts by no means proves that the judicial staff as a whole is too weak for the work it has to perform. Accordingly, on the assumption that some parts of the judicial system were overburdened with work and some were idle, he suggested a rearrangement which would utilize in a more uniform manner the services already paid for out of the public funds. The judges available for such a purpose are, of course, the judicial members of the House of Lords, and Mr. SNOW urges once again the scheme for abolishing the appellate jurisdiction of the House of Lords, and making the decisions of the Court of Appeal final, which was embodied in the Judicature Act, 1873. Undoubtedly if the law lords were added to the Court of Appeal and the court made to sit in three divisions, the appeal work, even including any work consequent on the entire abolition of divisional courts, could be very easily dealt with, and the Lords Justices in their spare time could give effective aid in disposing of the business of the High Court, but it will not be such an easy matter either to dispense with the present ultimate Court of Appeal or to reduce its members to the rank of Lords Justices. Under the present system the House of Lords is a valuable element in the making of judicial law and its decisions are given under circumstances which could not be reproduced in the Court of Appeal. Each branch of that court is bound by the decisions, and they command the respect of the profession and of the public. Cheap and speedy law may be a good thing, but if any value is set upon correctness, the fate of recent decisions of the Court of Appeal does not shew that a court beyond is superfluous.

THE RULE in *Clayton's case* (1 Mer. 572) that where there are successive credits and debits in an account between two parties, the first item on the debit side is to be taken to be discharged or reduced by the first item on the credit side and so on, is, according to the recent judgment of the House of Lords in *The Mecca* (45 W. R. 667), only applicable where there is strictly an account current between the parties, and not even then where the circumstances of the transaction are such as to shew that such an appropriation of the credit items was not intended. In that case the defendants, a steamship company, had incurred indebtedness to the plaintiffs for necessaries supplied abroad to two of their steamers, *The Medina* and *The Mecca*. The total indebtedness was £1,321, represented by acceptances of the company drawn in the following order—£270 on account of *The Medina*, £177 and £195 on account of *The Mecca*, and £650 on account of *The Medina*, with certain amounts for interest and expenses. An arrangement was come to under which proceedings against the steamers were not to be taken for three months if certain moneys due from underwriters were paid over in discharge of the bills. A sum of £900 was thus paid, but the balance of £401 was still unpaid at the end of the three months, and *The Mecca* was arrested. An account had been presented by the plaintiffs, shewing in order the above amounts as due respectively on the 7th, 26th, 27th, and 27th of April, 1894, and crediting the £900 on the 22nd of August. Hence, had the rule in *Clayton's case* been applicable, the first three items, including the whole indebtedness in respect of *The Mecca*, would have been discharged, and the arrest of that ship would have been improper. In the view of BRUCE, J., and of the Court of Appeal (Lord ESHER, M.R., KAY and A. L. SMITH, L.JJ.) this was the proper mode in which to deal with the account; but in the House of Lords it was pointed out that the transactions did not really constitute an account current between the parties. They were isolated transactions, and the mere fact of their being thrown together into one account for the purpose of convenience, with a general credit of the sum of £900, was not sufficient to attract the rule in *Clayton's case*. Moreover, the circumstance that the right of proceeding against the ship was suspended only for three months shewed an intention opposed to the idea that the payment of £900 was to be appropriated so as to release either of the ships. In the absence, therefore, of any appropriation of the money by the debtors at the time of payment, the option of appropriation lay with the creditors and was exerciseable by them at any time. "It has long been held," said Lord MACNAGHTEN, "and is now quite settled, that the creditor has the right of election 'up to the very last moment,' and he is not bound to declare his election in express terms." Consequently the election was well declared by the bringing of the action, and the plaintiffs were entitled to recover.

THE ANNOUNCEMENT that the Queen has granted the title of Honourable to the sons of legal life peers has naturally raised the question why the same dignity has not been conferred in the case of spiritual life peers. If there is any reason for the step at all, it is difficult to see why it should not apply in the case of a bishop entitled to sit in the House of Lords as much as in the case of a law lord. It is not apparent, however, that there is any reason. The dignity of a life peer is purely personal, and it is opposed to its nature for it to confer any special distinction on the peer's family.

The Lord Chief Justice has returned to Tadworth Court, Epsom, from Aix-les-Bains.

The Queen has been pleased to direct that the children of legal life peers and of legal life peers deceased shall in future have the courtesy title of "Honourable," and that they shall have rank and precedence immediately after the younger children of barons and immediately before baronets.

THE AUTUMN CIRCUITS OF THE JUDGES.—The following are the circuits chosen by the judges for the Autumn Assizes: South-Eastern Circuit, Mr. Baron Pollock; Western Circuit, Mr. Justice Hawkins; Midland Circuit, Mr. Justice Wills; Oxford Circuit, Mr. Justice Lawrance, Justices Wills and Lawrance joining each other at Birmingham; North and South Wales Circuits, Mr. Justice Grantham; North-Eastern Circuit, Justices Wright and Collins; and Northern Circuit, Justices Bruce and Ridley. Prisoners only will be tried at these assizes, except at Manchester and Liverpool on the Northern Circuit, Leeds on the North-Eastern Circuit, Birmingham on the Midland Circuit, and Cardiff on the North and South Wales Circuits, where civil business will also be taken.

THE RULE OF *FALSA DEMONSTRATIO*.

I.

The attempt to introduce into the description of property too great minuteness frequently leads to the addition of erroneous particulars, and the question how far these particulars can be rejected and the parcels affected by the instrument ascertained from the rest of the description has been a fruitful source of litigation. The case is intended to be met by the rule "*falsa demonstratio non nocet*," and so soon as it is ascertained that the particular which raises the difficulty is a *falsa demonstratio*, the rule applies and the question is solved. But of course the real point is to discover whether the particular can be rejected in this manner or must be taken as part of the description. In the latter case the words fall within the complementary maxim, "*Non accipi debent verba in demonstationem falsam quæ competunt in limitationem veram.*"

The matter has engaged the attention of the courts and of text-writers ever since deeds and other instruments under which property passes have had to be construed, and the principle according to which the question has to be determined has been frequently stated. "Whenever," it is said in the Touchstone (p. 247), "there is in the first place a sufficient certainty and demonstration, and afterwards an accumulative description, and it fails in point of accuracy, it will be rejected." "The first rule," said Alderson, B., in *Morrell* v. *Fisher* (4 Ex., p. 604), referring to the two maxims quoted above, "means that if there be an adequate and sufficient description, with convenient certainty of what was meant to pass, a subsequent erroneous addition will not vitiate it. . . . The other rule means that, if it stand doubtful upon the words whether they import a false reference or demonstration, or whether they be words of restraint that limit the generality of the former words, the law will never intend error or falsehood. If, therefore, there is some land wherein all the demonstrations are true, and some wherein part are true and part false, they shall be intended words of true limitation, to pass only those lands wherein the circumstances are true." The rule was expressed more shortly by PARKE, J., in *Doe* v. *Galloway* (5 B. & Ad. 51) as follows: "The rule is clearly settled, that when there is a sufficient description set forth of premises by giving the particular name of a close, or otherwise, we may reject a false demonstration; but that if premises be described in general terms, and a particular description be added, the latter controls the former" (see *Doe* v. *Greathed*, 8 East, p. 103; *Llewellyn* v. *E. of Jersey*, 11 M. & W., p. 189; *Dublin and Kingstown Railway Co.* v. *Bradford*, 7 Ir. C. L. R. 63).

In considering how these principles have been applied in practice it is perhaps simpler to begin with cases in which a particular description has been rejected as *falsa demonstratio*. Several instances are given in the Touchstone (p. 247). In the case of a grant of "all my manor of Sale in Dale which I had by descent," when in truth I had it not by descent, but by purchase, this is a good grant of the manor. The first part of the description gives certainty, and the rest is rejected as *falsa demonstratio*. But otherwise if I grant "all my lands in Dale which I had by descent from my father," and I had them not by descent, but by purchase. The lands affected are not ascertained with sufficient certainty by the first part of the description, and the grant is void. A grant of all my meadow in D., containing ten acres, whereas in truth the meadow contains twenty acres, is a good grant of the whole twenty acres, for the meadow is the substantial part of the grant. To take more modern cases, in *Llewellyn* v. *E. of Jersey* (11 M. & W. 183), a deed conveyed a piece of land, forming part of a close, by reference to a schedule annexed. The schedule contained various particulars, including a description of the land as "a small piece marked on the plan," and as containing thirty-four perches. The plan was drawn to a scale, and upon measurement the part marked was found to contain twenty-seven perches only. It was held that the statement that the land conveyed thirty-four perches was merely *falsa demonstratio*, the prior portion of the description being sufficient to ascertain with certainty what was conveyed, and that the deed passed only the portion of land actually marked off on the plan, as measured by the scale. Similarly the measurements given in a lease were rejected in *Manning* v. *Fitzgerald* (29 L. J. Ex. 24), it being clear that the demise was intended to include a specific house not corresponding to the measurements. In *Jack* v. *M'Intyre* (12 Cl. & F. 151) a lease demised a farm, describing it by boundaries and stating that it contained 509 acres arable, meadow, and pasture. This acreage was held not to be exhaustive, and the lease passed also 400 acres of bog and land reclaimed from bog. In *Cunningham* v. *Butler* (3 Giff. 37), a testatrix gave all her freehold hereditaments "called West Cliff . . . situate at West Cowes, and now used as lodging-houses." Part of the property was used as lodging-houses; other part was not. But the devise was held good to pass the whole. And where land demised is otherwise sufficiently identified, an error in the name by which it is described will not affect the lease: *Rorke* v. *Errington* (7 H. L. C., p. 625).

A frequent case of *falsa demonstratio* is where, after a sufficient description of the property, the occupation is erroneously stated. In *Wrotesley* v. *Adams* (Plowd., p. 191) lessors demised "all their farm in Brosley . . . then in the tenure and occupation of Roger Wilcox." It was held that "if it was not in his tenure and occupation, yet it should pass, for there is a certainty in the thing demised—namely, the farm in Brosley, and so another certainty put to a thing which was certain enough before is of no manner of effect." A devise of "all my farm called Trogues Farm" (in a specified parish and county) "now in the occupation of C.," is not necessarily limited to the lands in the farm of that name in the occupation of C., but may extend to other lands, parcel of the farm, which are in a different occupation (*Goodtitle* v. *Southern*, 1 M. & G. 299). "It is clear," said Lord ELLENBOROUGH, C.J., "that the testator meant to pass all which was called Trogues Farm, which is a plain and certain description; and the defective description of the occupation will not alter the devise." So a lease of houses lying within certain specified abuttals "and which are now in the occupation of S.," was held to pass a house within the abuttals but not in the occupation of S. (*Doe* v. *Galloway*, 5 B. & Ad. 43; see also *Martyr* v. *Lawrence*, 2 D. J. & S. 261; *Hardwick* v. *Hardwick*, L. R. 16 Eq. 168).

Frequently the words which are rejected as *falsa demonstratio* come at the end of the description, those which have preceded them having given the requisite certainty; but this is not necessarily the case, and where it is clear that some words must be rejected in order to make the description fit accurately any property, it is proper to reject those which appear to be of secondary importance, in whatever position they occur. "If the words of description," said Lord SELBORNE, C., in *Hardwick* v. *Hardwick* (*supra*), "when examined do not fit with accuracy, and if there must be some modification of some part of them in order to place a sensible construction on the will, then the whole thing must be looked at fairly in order to see what are the leading words of description, and what is the subordinate matter, and for this purpose evidence of extrinsic facts may be regarded" (see *Re Bright-Smith*, 31 Ch. D. 314).

REVIEWS.

RULING CASES.

RULING CASES (CAMPBELL AND BROWNE). INDEX, &C. VOLS. I.-X. ADDENDA FROM 1894 TO 1896 INCLUSIVE. By ROBERT CAMPBELL. WITH COMPLETE TABLE OF CASES (ENGLISH AND AMERICAN), A GENERAL INDEX OF SUBJECTS, AND A PREFACE. By EDWARD MANSON, Barrister-at-Law. Stevens & Sons (Lim.).

RULING CASES. Arranged, Annotated and Edited by ROBERT CAMPBELL, M.A., of Lincoln's-inn, Barrister-at-Law, Assisted by OTHER MEMBERS OF THE BAR. WITH AMERICAN NOTES by IRVING BROWNE. VOL. XI.: ESTOPPEL—EXECUTION. Stevens & Sons (Lim.).

This useful series of leading cases has reached a stage when an index is essential to make its contents readily accessible. The ten volumes which have been issued contain a vast quantity of matter, and though the titles are a great assistance they do not give the reader all the help he requires. The publishers have recognized this, and have now issued a volume containing tables of all the cases which have so far been used (those printed as ruling cases being distinguished by thick type) and a general index. Addenda are also incorporated,

referring to the more recent cases, and bringing the notes on the ruling cases already published down to 1896. The volume forms a very useful adjunct to the first ten volumes of the series.

The eleventh volume is taken up almost entirely with the important titles of "estoppel," "evidence," and "execution." The title "estoppel" follows the ordinary division into estoppel by matter of record, by deed, and *in pais*. Perhaps the most important sub-heading is estoppel *in pais*, which includes estoppel against a tenant by accepting possession of land (illustrated by the well-known case of *Doe* v. *Smythe*, 4 M. & S. 347), and estoppel by representation or by negligence, which includes cases of such wide application as *Pickard* v. *Sears* (4 A. & E. 469) and *Freeman* v. *Cooke* (2 Ex. 654). The application of this principle has furnished numerous cases for the note which follows the report. The title of "Evidence" is treated with great elaboration under five sections, though the last case—*Asher* v. *Whitlock* (L. R. 10 Q. B. 1)—suggests that the treatment is perhaps too elaborate. Undoubtedly that case establishes the rule for which it is quoted, that the fact of possession is *primâ facie* evidence of *seisin* in fee, and gives a title good against all except the rightful owner, but its natural place would be under the head of "Possessory Title." In the quantity of matter with which Mr. Campbell has to deal it is, however, not surprising that transpositions of this kind should occur, and in due course, as the volume noticed above shews, any resulting inconvenience will be corrected by the index.

BOOKS RECEIVED.

A Treatise upon the Law affecting Solicitors of the Supreme Court; with Appendices containing the Solicitors Acts, 1843-1895; Enactments specially referring to Solicitors; Regulations relating to Solicitors; also the Statutes Regulating the Admission of Colonial Attorneys and Solicitors in England. By ARTHUR P. POLEY, B.A., Barrister-at-Law. William Clowes & Sons (Limited).

Encyclopædia of the Laws of England; being a new abridgment by the most eminent legal authorities, under the general editorship of A. WOOD RENTON, M.A., LL.B., Barrister-at-Law. Volume III.: Chicory to County Courts. London: Sweet & Maxwell (Limited). Edinburgh: Wm. Green & Sons.

CASES OF THE WEEK.

Before the Vacation Judge.

GOULD *v.* GOULD. 1st September.

CONTEMPT OF COURT—ATTACHMENT—ORDER TO PAY SUM OF MONEY AND TO FIND SECURITY—NON-COMPLIANCE—DEBTORS ACT, 1869 (32 & 33 VICT. c. 62).

This was a motion on behalf of the petitioner, Maria Jane Gould, for leave to issue a writ of attachment against the respondent, Henry Gould, for disobedience to an order of the court made on the 31st of July, 1897, on the ground of non-compliance by the respondent with the order. In support of the motion it was said that the respondent was ordered to pay to the petitioner or to her solicitor, within seven days from the service of the order, the sum of £25 9s., the amount of the petitioner's taxed costs. He was also ordered to lodge in court or give security for the sum of £40 within the same time. The order was personally served upon him on the 4th of August, 1897. He practised as a surgeon at Manchester, and an affidavit by the petitioner had been filed saying that the respondent was daily driving about in a dog-cart visiting his numerous patients, and to all appearance had a very successful practice, besides his income derived from appointments. An order for attachment could not, however, be made on the ground of non-payment of the £25 9s. for costs, as the Debtors Act, 1869, prevented such an order being made. There was, however, no reason why the debtor should not have given the security he was ordered to find. On behalf of the respondent it was stated that the respondent had not the means to pay. His practice had been greatly injured by the present proceedings. The statement by the petitioner about the dog-cart and the numerous patients was untrue. It was apprehended that the respondent might part with his practice.

BYRNE, J., ordered the motion to stand over till after the Long Vacation, with liberty to apply to restore the case to the list on further materials.—COUNSEL, *Barnard; J. D. Crawford.* SOLICITORS, *A. J. Oliver; Pritchard, Englefield, & Co.*

[Reported by J. E. ALDOUS, Barrister-at-Law.]

***Re* COMPANIES ACTS, 1862, AND *Re* DAVID JONES & CO. (LIM).** 27th August.

COMPANY—WINDING UP—INTERESTS OF CREDITORS—VOLUNTARY LIQUIDATOR APPOINTED RECEIVER IN ACTION BY DEBENTURE-HOLDERS.

This was a petition by Messrs. Jones, Dickinson, & Co., whose registered office is at Chiswell House, Finsbury-pavement, E.C., that the respondent company, Messrs. David Jones & Co. (Limited), of Cardiff, might be wound up by the court. In support of the petition it was said that the respondents were indebted to the petitioners in the sum of about £800 for cake and other goods supplied to the respondents. The respondents had passed a resolution for a voluntary winding up. The total unsecured debts were £5,600. The company was registered in May, 1890. Its objects were to acquire the business previously carried on by Messrs. David Jones & Co., trading as provision dealers and grocers at Cardiff, and to carry into effect an agreement between John Edwin Gunn and the company. In April, 1890, the business was sold to Gunn for £3,357 12s., and on the 18th of June, 1890, Gunn sold it to the company for £25,000. Two hundred and thirteen shares of £10 each were applied for. On the 9th of March, 1892, a supplemental agreement was entered into by which the residue of the purchase-money was made payable to Gunn as follows: £1,750 in debentures, £22,310 in fully paid-up shares, and £766 in cash. On the 24th of March, 1893, 2,231 shares were allotted to Gunn, and he transferred 758 of them to H. L. Carr, another director. £6,750 debentures had been issued, of which £1,750 belonged to Gunn. The remaining £5,000 debentures were allotted to Gunn, Carr, and James Hurman, who were till 1893 the three directors of the company. In 1893 there were four directors. No meetings of shareholders were held between 1892 and 1896. No balance-sheets had been issued since 1892. There was no meeting of directors between the 4th of April, 1893, and the 6th of December, 1895. The business was managed by Gunn and Carr, who were practically owners of it. The petition was supported by creditors for £1,540 5s. 9d. in addition to the petitioners. Therefore the sum owing to creditors supporting the petition amounted altogether to about £2,348. No creditor had given notice of opposition. The voluntary liquidator, who was a nominee of Carr's, had been appointed receiver in a debenture-holders' action. Further, there was a question whether the issue of £5,000 debentures which the directors had made to themselves was valid; £4,200 only had been paid for the debentures. Under these circumstances it was for the benefit of the creditors that a compulsory order should be made: *Re Medical Battery Co.* (42 W. R. 191; 1894, 1 Ch. 444).

BYRNE, J., in giving judgment, said that the present was one of those cases in which the wishes of the creditors ought to be chiefly regarded. The petitioning creditors' debt was subject to a vague and shadowy suggestion that it might be reduced, but nothing had been put into figures. In addition to the petitioners, there were creditors for £1,324 who desired a compulsory winding up. Of the remaining unsecured creditors none appeared to oppose. It had been sought to use affidavits made by certain creditors as equivalent to their appearance for the purpose of opposing the petition because they had attended a meeting of creditors, and said that they approved of what was done. But as much weight could not be attached to an informal meeting of creditors as to one called under the statute or under an order of the court. Having regard to what had been said there had been gross irregularities in the management of the company, and several points had been suggested as to which there might be serious questions. He thought it would be to the advantage of the creditors that there should be an order for a compulsory winding up. He therefore made an order accordingly, with the usual order as to costs.—COUNSEL, *Alexander*, Q.C. (*T. Douglas* with him); *W. Barnard; Hopkinson*, Q.C., and *Clauson; P. M. Walters.* SOLICITORS, *Ward, Bowie, & Co.; Bell, Brodrick, & Gray*, for *Linton & C. & W. Kenshole*, Cardiff; *Riddell, Vaizey, & Smith; Downing, Bolam, & Co*, for *Morgan & Scott*, Cardiff.

[Reported by J. E. ALDOUS, Barrister-at-Law.]

CASES OF LAST SITTINGS.

High Court—Queen's Bench Division.

REG. *v.* BURTON AND ANOTHER, JUSTICES, AND THE INCORPORATED LAW SOCIETY. *Ex parte* YOUNG. Div. Court. 10th and 11th August.

JUSTICES—INTEREST—BIAS—MEMBERSHIP OF INCORPORATED LAW SOCIETY.

In this case a rule *nisi* had been obtained on behalf of one Alfred Young calling upon John Burton and Frederick Cleve, justices in and for the borough of Tunbridge Wells, and the Incorporated Law Society of the United Kingdom, to shew cause why a writ of *certiorari* should not issue to remove into the Queen's Bench Division a record of conviction by the said two justices on the 12th of April, 1897, whereby the said Alfred Young was convicted for wilfully and falsely pretending to be a solicitor, and why such conviction should not be quashed on the ground that the said John Burton was interested in the matter of the conviction, he being a practising solicitor and a member of the Incorporated Law Society. The facts of the case as contained in several affidavits were that Young wrote a letter to a lady saying that he was instructed by her late butler to apply to her for a month's wages in lieu of notice, and further stating that if payment were not made within seven days he would take proceedings in the county court to recover the same. The matter was brought to the notice of the Council of the Incorporated Law Society, who decided to take proceedings against Young under section 12 of the Solicitors Act, 1874 (37 & 38 Vict. c. 68). On the 12th of April, 1897, at the petty sessions at Tunbridge Wells, Young was summoned and appeared before the aforesaid two justices. Before the case was called on the defendant's solicitor directed the attention of the clerk to the justices to the fact that the chairman, the said Burton, was himself a practising solicitor. The clerk replied that the defendant's solicitor could raise an objection if he liked, but no question was raised or objection made by the said solicitor to the jurisdiction of the said Burton. The defendant was convicted of the offence and a fine was inflicted upon him. The said Burton, besides being a practising solicitor, was also a member of the Incorporated Law Society, but the defendant's solicitor was not aware of

this fact at the time when the summons came on for hearing. It appeared from the affidavit of the secretary to the Incorporated Law Society that the society is managed by a council elected annually by the members, but that the members individually take no part in controlling or managing the affairs of the society. The said Burton, though a member of the society, was not a member of the council, and he therefore had no power to interfere with or control its proceedings, nor did he in any way have any voice in the institution or conduct of the prosecution of the defendant. It was further stated that no part of the penalty was or is ever received by the society in such a case and that the said Burton could not in any way be benefited pecuniarily or otherwise by the success of the prosecution of the defendant, nor could he in any way become liable for any costs or expenses in reference to the proceedings in the event of their failure.

THE COURT (LAWRANCE and COLLINS, JJ.), in discharging the rule, said that it had been obtained (1) on the ground that Burton was a practising solicitor, but that point was not really open here as the knowledge of the defendant's solicitor amounted to a waiver, and even if that objection had not been waived the case of *Reg.* v. *Huggins* (43 W. R. 329; 1895, 1 Q. B. 563) was an answer to it; (2) it was then said that he was pecuniarily interested in the result of the proceedings as he was a member of the Incorporated Law Society and an order for costs might be made against that body. The answer to that objection was the case of *Reg.* v. *The Mayor and Justices of Deal* (30 W. R 154, 45 L. T. 439); (3) then it was said that Burton was both judge and prosecutor—that point was fully dealt with in the case of *Allinson* v. *General Council of Medical Education and Registration* (42 W. R. 289; 1894, 1 Q. B. 750). He could not be said to be prosecutor. True he was a member of the society, but he had no part or voice in directing prosecutions; that rested entirely with the council of the society, and he was not a member thereof. In the last cited case Lord Esher, M.R., said: "He must bear such a relation to the matter that he cannot reasonably be suspected of being biassed." The relation of Burton to the society was not such as to lead to such a probability. Under all the circumstances of the case they thought that it had not been established that there was any bias or pecuniary interest, or that Burton was actively engaged in the prosecution, and therefore no case had been made out against him. The present case did not come under any of the cases already decided. Rule discharged.—COUNSEL, *Hollams* and *F. Arnold*; *Hextall*. SOLICITORS, *E. W. Williamson*; *Lee, Ockerby, & Everington*, for *E. E. Robb*, Tunbridge Wells.

[Reported by E. G. STILLWELL, Barrister-at-Law.]

LAW SOCIETIES.

INCORPORATED LAW SOCIETY.

VICTORIA PENSION FUND.

No further subscriptions to the above fund having been received up the the 1st inst., the amount remains, as previously stated, at £8,349 9s.

ANNUAL REPORT.

The following are further extracts from the Annual Report of the Council, continued from p. 728:—

Stamp duties on substituted securities and on the release of provisoes for redemption.—The Council have been in correspondence with the Commissioners of Inland Revenue as to the stamp duties impressed upon deeds by way of substituted security, and upon deeds releasing provisoes for redemption. In the first case, land being mortgaged in fee simple, the mortgagees released a small portion thereof, which was conveyed to the purchaser, reserving to the mortgagor a fee-farm rent. This fee-farm rent was then conveyed by the mortgagor to the mortgagees in substitution for the land released. The Commissioners decided that this substituted security should bear an *ad valorem* duty of 6d. for every £100 secured, but the Commissioners limited this duty to 10s. as a maximum if the *ad valorem* duty would exceed 10s. It appeared to the Council that the practice of the Commissioners in so limiting the duty was not authorized, and, that being so, there was danger that, unless every deed so stamped were impressed with an adjudication stamp, it might be open to the court to object to such deed when tendered in evidence as being insufficiently stamped, and to decline to receive it without further stamp duty and the payment of a penalty. The Council, therefore, suggested to the Commissioners that they should procure legislative sanction for their practice, and the Commissioners have replied stating that, in the event of any legislation being initiated, the points raised by the Council would be carefully considered. A further case was brought to the notice of the Commissioners — viz., that of the release on a transfer of mortgage of the original proviso for redemption, and the creation of a new proviso on the payment of a sum less than the sum originally secured. In this case, the Commissioners decided that, in addition to the *ad valorem* duty of 6d. for every £100 of the amount transferred, a stamp duty of 10s. as a maximum should be impressed in respect of release of the old proviso for redemption. It is understood that the duty is impressed as on a release or renunciation effected otherwise than upon a sale or by way of security. Such a duty does not appear in the schedule to the Stamp Act, 1891, and the Council again suggested that the Commissioners' practice in this respect also should receive legislative sanction. To their letter on the subject the Council received a reply similar to that in the first case.

Cases affecting solicitors.—Since the issue of the last report the Council have supported solicitors in contesting the following cases, viz.: *Re McGarel* (1897, 1 Ch. 400), affecting the scale charge in respect of leases at rack-rent, in which the decision was that the lessor's solicitor is not entitled, where the annual rent exceeds £100, to charge any percentage on fractional amounts of £100 in the rental. *Fortescue* v. *Mercantile Bank* (103 L. T. 128), affecting the scale charge in respect of property sold by a second mortgagee subject to incumbrances, in which the decision was that the amount of the prior incumbrances is to be deemed part of the purchase-money.

Legacy duty on solicitors' costs.—Shortly after the decision in *Re Thorley* (1891, 2 Ch. 613), the Commissioners of Inland Revenue made several claims for payment of legacy duty on the profit costs from time to time received by solicitors acting as executors and trustees under wills, and empowered to make the usual professional charges. Representations were made to the Commissioners by the Council and the solicitors interested, and the reply of the Commissioners was to the effect that, although, in their opinion, the duty was legally chargeable, they would not enforce the payment of it. The question has again been raised by the Commissioners, and the Council have decided to support the solicitors concerned in opposing the claim.

Finance Act, 1894—Commutation of estate duty.—The attention of the Council has been called to claims made by the Commissioners of Inland Revenue for payment of estate duty in the case of the purchase by a remainderman of the interest of the tenant for life in a settled estate. The claim, it is understood, is made on the analogy of the Succession Duty Act; but in that case there is an express enactment that succession duty shall be payable, notwithstanding the acceleration of the succession by the surrender or extinction of prior interests. On inquiry the Council were informed by the Commissioners that no case properly raising the question had yet come before them, but that, on such a case being brought to their notice, they were prepared, upon being satisfied that it was a proper test case, to bring it before the court, paying the costs on both sides in any event. Two cases were brought under the consideration of the Council, one of which they selected and submitted to the Commissioners, but, in the interval, the Commissioners had obtained a test case.

Membership of the Society.—In conclusion, the Council desire to impress upon all members of the society the importance of endeavouring to induce fellow-practitioners with whom they are acquainted, and who are not already members of the society, to join its ranks, and with this view a print of the "Objects and Constitution of the Society" and a nomination paper accompany this report. The numbers of the society have largely increased of late years, and, thanks to this fact and to its organization, and more especially its intimate and friendly relations with the provincial law societies, and to the distribution of the seats on the Council between London and the country, it is now thoroughly representative of the profession, and speaks with authority and is listened to with attention when questions in which solicitors are interested arise. But there are still many who could well afford the small annual payment which membership involves, and yet from indifference or ignorance of the society's work abstain from joining it. For their information, and in answer to the complaints sometimes made that the society is of no advantage to solicitors, it may not be amiss to mention some of the heads of the society's work: such as—legal education; examinations of persons seeking to become solicitors; the endeavour to secure a high standard of professional honour both by exercise of the powers conferred by the Solicitors Act, 1888, and by private communications; the prosecution of unqualified persons; the watching of Bills in Parliament affecting the profession, and the organization of opposition when necessary; the introduction and support of Bills in Parliament; the support of cases in the courts affecting the profession; the consideration of rules issued under the Rules Publication Act, 1893; the advising of members on questions submitted; the publication of works for the guidance and information of the profession. It must be borne in mind that the work of the society enures for the benefit of the profession generally, and that being so, it should be supported by all.

NEW ORDERS, &c.

HIGH COURT OF JUSTICE.

Companies (Winding-up) and Chancery Division (Actions transferred to the Judge in Companies (Winding-up)).

LONG VACATION, 1897.—NOTICE.

The Chambers of the Registrar—Companies (Winding-up)—will be open for Vacation Business only on Monday, Tuesday, Wednesday, Thursday, and Friday in each week, from eleven till two o'clock.

[We insert the above notice in correction of the statement in our issue of the 14th of August that the above chambers were open only on Monday, Tuesday, Wednesday, and Thursday.—ED. *S. J.*]

LEGAL NEWS.

OBITUARY.

Sir GEORGE OSBORNE MORGAN, Bart., Q.C., M.P., died on the 25th ult. at his residence, Moreton Hall, Westonrhyn, Denbighshire. Sir G. Osborne Morgan was the eldest son of the late Rev. Morgan Morgan, a native of Cardiganshire, and for many years vicar of Conway, Carnarvonshire. He was born on the 8th of May, 1826, and educated at Shrewsbury School and Balliol College, Oxford. He took his B A. degree (first-class classics) in 1847, and his M.A. degree three years later. He had a distinguished university career, gaining while at Oxford the Newdigate Prize, the Chancellor's

medal, the Craven and Eldon University Scholarships, and being elected Stowell Law Fellow of University College. Selecting the legal profession, he was called to the bar at Lincoln's-inn in 1853. He devoted himself to Chancery practice, and was recognized as an authority on Chancery procedure, publishing a work on the subject which has reached a sixth edition. He was created Q.C. in 1869, elected a bencher of his inn in the same year, and treasurer in 1890. He sat in Parliament as a Liberal for the county of Denbigh from 1868 to 1885, and upon the division of the county into two constituencies he was elected member for the Eastern Division, retaining the seat until his death. He was made Judge-Advocate-General and Privy Councillor in 1880, and Under Secretary of State for the Colonies in 1886, and was created a baronet in 1892. He was mainly instrumental in carrying through Parliament the Burials Act of 1880, and he also secured the passage of the Married Women's Property Act of 1882, and the Act for Abolishing Corporal Punishment in the Army. He was recognized as an excellent Chairman of Parliamentary Committees, where his tact and urbanity, as well as his legal training, stood him in good stead. He acted as Chairman of the Select Committee of the House of Commons on Land Titles and Transfer in 1877-78, and as Chairman of the Standing Committees of the House of Commons on Law and Trade Bills from 1888 to 1893. He followed Mr. Gladstone in the adoption of the Home Rule policy, and was a warm advocate of Welsh Disestablishment. Sir George married, in 1856, Emily, second daughter of the late Mr. Leopold Reiss, of Bream House, Eccles, Lancashire, who survives him, but he leaves no family.

CHANGES IN PARTNERSHIPS.

Dissolutions.

The partnership heretofore subsisting between Mr. John Maude, Mr. William Maude, and Mr. John England Tunnicliffe, who have carried on business under the style of Messrs. Maudes & Tunnicliffe at Arundel House, Arundel-street, Strand, W.C., is dissolved by consent as from the 1st of September, 1897. Mr. John Maude and Mr. John England Tunnicliffe will continue to carry on business as heretofore at the above address, under the style of Messrs. Maude & Tunnicliffe.

GENERAL.

In the City of London Court on the 27th ult., says the *Times*, the case of *Shaen* v. *The Brewers and General Fire Insurance Company (Limited)* was mentioned to Mr. Commissioner Kerr. Mr. Craies, defendants' counsel, wished to have the hearing postponed, and applied to the court for an order to that effect. Mr. Commissioner Kerr pointed out that no notice of the intended application had been given to the court. Mr. Frank Dodd, the plaintiff's counsel, ventured to remark that an affidavit had been filed in the court. Mr. Commissioner Kerr: You may file fifty affidavits, but they do not constitute one notice to the court. I wish the solicitors who practise here would either condescend to go elsewhere, or else learn their business. King Solomon, you know, sat at the Gate of Jerusalem, and no doubt he administered justice admirably. He dispensed with all sorts of formalities; but I cannot. I have no doubt it would be far better for the people of this country if justice were administered to-day as King Solomon dispensed it. But, under existing circumstances and conditions, I fear that that is impossible. Mr. Dodd suggested that legal procedure would be much more expeditious if the learned judge's idea could be carried out. Mr. Commissioner Kerr: There would be a tremendous saving of costs. The necessary notice was given during the morning, and the application dealt with.

At the North London police court, says the *Times*, before Mr. Cluer, Mr. W. H. Thompson, a journalist, of Leswin-road, Stoke Newington, was summoned, on the 1st inst., by the North Metropolitan Tramway Co. for a penny fare. Mr. Godfrey appeared for the company, and Mr. Windsor (Young & Windsor) for the defence. The case was that on the night of the 19th of August the defendant got on a car from Seven Sister's-road corner to Dalston Junction. He paid his fare (one penny), but as the car on which he was, was, in his opinion, unduly delayed, he got off and ran and caught up to a car which was going faster in front. Then another penny was demanded of him, which he refused to pay, as he had already paid his fare for the journey. Mr. Windsor argued for the defence that there was nothing on the tramway tickets to shew that they were not available by any other car or on any other than the day of issue. The bye-law said that the passenger must "pay for the journey," and this the defendant had done. He paid his fare, and as the car on which he was had been unreasonably delayed, he considered himself justified in changing to another one. Mr. Cluer asked the defendant whether he had a witness as to his paying for the ticket he produced. The defendant: No; I have not. Mr. Cluer: Then I must hold that the company must have their penny and £2 2s. costs. Mr. Windsor: We will have a witness next time.

A question having arisen as to the derivation of the title of Lord Ludlow, which has recently been adopted by Lord Justice Lopes upon his elevation to the peerage, Mr. R. Jasper More, M.P. for the Ludlow division of Salop, communicated with his lordship on the matter, and received the following reply: "Heywood, Westbury, Wilts, August 21, 1897. Dear Sir,—I should have thought that the title of 'Ludlow of Heywood, in the county of Wiltshire,' would have clearly indicated that, so far as formality was concerned, I took my title from Heywood in Wiltshire, which is the name of my house, and of the parish in which I live. The reason I took the name of Ludlow was because it was my mother's name. She came of a very old Wiltshire family, with a recorded pedigree since 1400. Amongst her ancestors are to be found Edmond Ludlow, general of the forces in Ireland in the time of Cromwell the regicide, a Sir Henry Ludlow, Bart., a Baron Ludlow, a Viscount Ludlow, and an Earl Ludlow, whose title became extinct in 1840. Edmond Ludlow retired to Vevay, in Switzerland, and lived there until his death, and over his door was a board, which you will find mentioned in Macaulay's and other histories of England, with the following inscription: *Omne Solum Forti Patria quis Patris*, 1664. When the old house at Vevay was pulled down the municipality of Vevay sent the board to my mother (Lady Lopes) and it is now over my front door at Heywood. The Heywood property in Wiltshire I derived from my mother. I cannot, therefore, truthfully say that I took my title directly from Ludlow, in Shropshire. I learn, however, from an article in the 'Ludlow Advertiser,' which you have kindly sent me, that the Ludlow family in Wiltshire originally took their name from the Ludlow in Shropshire. If this is so, all I can say is, any connection which I can claim with the ancient, historic and most interesting town of Ludlow will give a charm to the title I have assumed, of which I shall ever be proud.—Yours very truly, Ludlow."

Warning to intending House Purchasers and Lessees.—Before purchasing or renting a house, have the Sanitary Arrangements thoroughly Examined, Tested, and Reported Upon by an Expert from Messrs. Carter Bros., 65, Victoria-street, Westminster. Fee quoted on receipt of full particulars. (Established 21 years.)—[Advt.]

BIRTHS, MARRIAGES, AND DEATHS.

Marriage.

Ford—Smith.—Sept. 1, at "The Homestead," Duxbury, Mass., U.S.A., by the Rev. Dr. James de Normandie, Alfred Rogers Ford, of Weston-super-Mare, Somerset, Solicitor, to Sarah Mabel Foster, third daughter of the Hon. Winfield Smith, of Milwaukee, Wis., U.S.A.

THE PROPERTY MART.

Result of Sale.

Messrs. H. E. Foster & Cranfield's Sale at the Mart last Thursday contained several very attractive Interests, two old Policies of Assurance, for £2,000, with profits, in the Norwich Union, and £999 19s., with profits, in the Scottish Provident Institution, realising £2,470 and £1,310 respectively.

WINDING UP NOTICES.

London Gazette.—Friday, Aug. 27.

JOINT STOCK COMPANIES.

Limited in Chancery.

City Mining Syndicate, Limited—Creditors are required, on or before Sept 27, to send in their names and addresses, and the particulars of their debts or claims, to Pullam Markham Evans, Portland House, Basinghall st. Flower & Co, 1, Great Winchester st, solors for liquidators

Coolgardie Gold Mining and Prospecting Co, Limited—Creditors are required, on or before Nov 23, to send their names and addresses, and the particulars of their debts or claims, to Arthur Bristowe, Grenfell st, Adelaide, South Australia. Sutton & Co, 3 and 4, Great Winchester st, solors to liquidator in England

Decatur Mines Syndicate, Limited (in Liquidation)—By order of the Registrar, dated Aug 17, it was ordered that Francis Robert Elliott be removed from his office as liquidator, and that William Lewis White, 85, Gresham st, be appointed liquidator in the place of the said Francis Robert Elliott. Deacon & Co, 9, Great St Helen's, solors for company

"Just-in-Time" Gold Mines, Limited—Creditors are required, on or before Sept 30, to send their names and addresses, and the particulars of their debts or claims, to P. G. Kime, Broad st House, New Broad st. Hays & Co, 31, Abchurch lane, solors for liquidator

Manchester Cycle Manufacturing Co, Limited—By order of the Registrar, dated Aug 17, Mr. Richard Brutton, 77, King st, Manchester, has been appointed voluntary liquidator. Busk & Mellor, 45, Lincoln's inn fields, agents for Sale & Co, Manchester, solors for petners

Max Saturn Electrical Syndicate, Limited—Creditors are required, on or before Oct 14, to send their names and addresses, and the particulars of their debts or claims, to William Sharpe Mackenzie, Silverleigh, Constantine rd, Hampstead

Unlimited in Chancery.

Buckfastleigh, Totnes, and South Devon Railway Co—Creditors are required, on or before Oct 8, to send their names and addresses, and the particulars of their debts or claims, to John Furneaux, at the company's office, Totnes. Hacker & Michelmore, solors for liquidator

FRIENDLY SOCIETIES DISSOLVED.

Old Abbey Lodge, Independent Order of Oddfellows, Manchester U. F. Society, Woodhall Spa, Horncastle, Lincs. Aug 11

Ordnance Arms Inn Permanent Money Club, Limited, Ordnance Arms Inn, Park rd, Oldham, Lancs. Aug 18

London Gazette.—Tuesday, Aug. 31.

JOINT STOCK COMPANIES.

Limited in Chancery.

Bass and Flinders Gold Mining Co, Limited—Petn for winding up, presented Aug 25, directed to be heard on Sept 8. Miller & Steele, St Stephen's chmbrs, Telegraph st, solors for petner. Notice of appearing must reach the above-named not later than 6 o'clock in the afternoon of Sept 7

Coolgardie Gold Mining and Prospecting Co (W. A.), Limited—Creditors are required, on or before Nov 23, to send their names and addresses, and the particulars of their debts or claims, to Arthur Bristowe, Grenfell st, Adelaide, South Australia. Sutton & Co, 3 and 4, Great Winchester st, solors to liquidator in England

Coventry Machinists' Co, Limited (in Voluntary Liquidation)—The Court has, by an order dated Aug 23, appointed William Hutton, 39, Bennett's hill, Birmingham, liquidator of the company, to act with James Maycock, in the place of Charles Baker, who has resigned. Crowders & Vizard, 55, Lincoln's inn fields, agents for Browett, Coventry, solors for liquidators

Garth & Co, Limited—Creditors are requested, before Sept 30, to send full particulars of their claims, to G. A. Mühlhäuser, 29, Buckley rd, Brondesbury

Henry T. Brown & Co, Limited—Creditors are required, on or before Sept 4, to send in the particulars of their claims and demands to Roland Allen Felton, 5, Waterloo st, Birmingham. Smith, Birmingham, solor to liquidator

VICTORIA MANSIONS RESTAURANT CO, LIMITED—By an order made by Byrne, J., dated Aug 9, it was ordered that the voluntary winding up of the company be continued. Sawyer & Ellis, 7, Laurence Pountney hill, solors for petner, Creditors are required, on or before Oct 12, to send their names and addresses, and the particulars of their debts or claims, to Henry Crewdson Howard, 17, Coleman st. Sawyer & Ellis, Laurence Pountney hill, solors to liquidator

FRIENDLY SOCIETY DISSOLVED.

LOUTH AND NEIGHBOURHOOD PRIMITIVE METHODIST FUNERAL BRIEF FRIENDLY SOCIETY, Primitive Methodist Schoolroom, Northgate, Louth, Lincs. Aug 18

CREDITORS' NOTICES.

UNDER 22 & 23 VICT. CAP. 35

LAST DAY OF CLAIM.

London Gazette.—TUESDAY, Aug. 24.

ABERDARE, Right Hon NORAH CHRINA BLANCHE Dowager Lady Sept 29 Lee & Pembertons, Lincoln's inn fields

ANDERSON, MARY, Bedford Sept 21 Langley, Bedford

ARCHBOLD, JOSEPH Alnwick, Draper Oct 16 Hindmarsh, Alnwick

BEES, BETTY, Ashton under Lyne Oct 15 Wilson, Ashton under Lyne

BOTTERELL, CHARLES, and ELIZA BOTTERELL, Leeds Sept 1 Wooler & Co, Leeds

BURKE, JAMES, Bradford, Barman Sept 30 Trewavas & Massey, Bradford

CLAY, GEORGE, Withington, Lancs Oct 4 Hadfield & Co, Manchester

COTTERILL, HARRIET, Congleton, Chester Sept 18 Steele Sheldon, Congleton

CRAUFURD, FREDERICK BROWN NUMA, Leamington Oct 2 Arrowsmith, Abergele

CROCOMBE, WILLIAM HENRY, Chiswick, Grocer Aug 31 Yielding & Co, Vincent sq, Westminster

DAVEY, THOMAS WICKHAM, Hurstpierpoint, Sussex Oct 7 Evershed & Shapland, Brighton

DENN, EMMA, Cheltenham Sept 30 Isaac Cooke & Sons, Bristol

EASTMAN, NOAH, Bideford, Devon, Yeoman Sept 29 Hole & Peard, Bideford

ELLIOTT, JOHN, Totnes, Devon, Builder Oct 1 Windeatt & Windeatt, Totnes

GORST, EGERTON HARVEY, Hunt's Cross, nr Liverpool, Cotton Broker Sept 20 Whiting & Co, Liverpool

HALES, MARY ANN, Dalston Sept 30 Trismer, Pianor's ct, Old Broad st

HARPER, GEORGE, Huddersfield, Publisher Oct 1 Bottomley, Huddersfield

HARRISON, JAMES WILLIAM, Sheffield Oct 5 Bramley & Son, Sheffield

HENNAH, HELENA ANN, Maidstone Oct 1 C Hamilton White, Maidstone

JAY, CHARLES, Parliament st Oct 8 Merriman & Co, Austin Friars

KINDER, HENRY THOMAS, Landport, Hants, Fishmonger Sept 24 King, Portsmouth

MARTIN, ROBERT, Worcester Sept 26 Charles Stevens & Drayton, Queen Victoria st

MATTHEWS, WILLIAM EDWARD, Yealand Conyers, nr Carnforth Sept 6 Sharp & Sons, Lancaster

MORRIS, ISAAC HUDSON, Manchester, Yarn Agent Oct 7 Addleshaw & Co, Manchester

MORRIS, THOMAS, Farnworth, nr Bolton, Ironfounder Oct 4 George Hadfield & Co, Manchester

PETIT, SUSANNA, Lichfield Sept 21 Hinckley & Co, Lichfield

PETT, Miss LOUISA HARRIETT, Lower Clapton Oct 12 Crump & Co, Parliament st

PRITCHARD, WILLIAM, Liverpool, Builder Sept 24 J Rice & Co, Llangefni

ROBERTS, CHARLES, Finchley S pt 30 Wm Smith & Sons, Weston super Mare

SANDS, GEORGE, Heathfield, Sussex, Miller Oct 1 Sprott & Sons, Heathfield

SCRATCHERD, THOMAS HENRY CROISDALE, Halifax, Wine Merchant Sept 30 Ingram & Hustriss, Halifax

SMITH, JOHN PHIN, Midland Grand Hotel, St. Pancras Oct 2 Bloxam & Co, Lincoln's inn fields

TRUETT, ROBERT, Limehouse Oct 14 Birchalls, Gracechurch st

WARREN, JAMES, Worcester, Electro-Plater Oct 9 Lee & Russell, Birmingham

WHITE, ROBERT, Newark upon Trent, Chartered Accountant Sept 30 Hodgkinson, Newark on Trent

WRIGHT, WILLIAM HENRY, Dalston Oct 16 Dubois & Williams, Pancras la

BANKRUPTCY NOTICES.

London Gazette.—FRIDAY, Aug. 27.

RECEIVING ORDERS.

BECKLEY, FREDERICK, Vauxhall, Horse Dealer High Court Pet June 26 Ord Aug 23

COOPER, FRANK ASPLAND, Harborne, Birmingham, General Agent Norwich Pet Aug 23 Ord Aug 23

COPP, JONAS, Exeter Exeter Pet Aug 24 Ord Aug 24

COX, MARION, Bradford, Dressmaker Bradford Pet Aug 23 Ord Aug 23

EAST, FRANK, Bedford Bedford Pet July 31 Ord Aug 24

FRANKLIN, WILLIAM, Southsea, Travelling Auctioneer Portsmouth Pet Aug 25 Ord Aug 25

HAYES, JOHN, Blackburn, Insurance Agent Blackburn Pet Aug 23 Ord Aug 23

KITCHEN, THOMAS, Leeds, Mason Leeds Pet Aug 21 Ord Aug 21

MEAKIN, HENRY, Bulwell, Nottingham, Grocer Nottingham Pet Aug 24 Ord Aug 24

MOSS, SAMUEL, Gloucester, Timber Merchant Gloucester Pet Aug 21 Ord Aug 23

NEBROW, BERNARD, Kingston upon Hull Kingston upon Hull Pet Aug 23 Ord Aug 23

OLSWANG, JACQUES, Waterloo, Lancs, Furniture Dealer Liverpool Pet Aug 24 Ord Aug 24

ONIONS, GEORGE AUGUSTUS, Loughborough, Cycle Agent Leicester Pet Aug 23 Ord Aug 23

OWEN, ELIZABETH, Birmingham Birmingham Pet Aug 21 Ord Aug 21

OWEN, CHARLES, Sheffield, Silversmith Sheffield Pet Aug 24 Ord Aug 24

PERKS, CHRISTOPHER EDWARD, Handsworth Birmingham Pet Aug 24 Ord Aug 24

PITMAN, WALTER, Forest Hill, Kent, Builder Greenwich Pet July 23 Ord July 24

REECE, JOHN ALONZA, Bristol, Cage Maker Bristol Pet Aug 25 Ord Aug 25

SWAIN, CAROLINE, Luton, Baker Luton Pet Aug 13 Ord Aug 24

SWANNE, JAMES CLARK, Handsworth Birmingham Pet Aug 24 Ord Aug 24

TUCKER, WILLIAM HENRY, Hinton Parva, Wilts Swindon Pet Aug 10 Ord Aug 25

ULRICH, WILLIAM, Canonbury, Commercial Traveller Barnet Pet Aug 23 Ord Aug 23

WEAVER, THOMAS, Fenton, Staffs, Grocer Stoke upon Trent Pet Aug 25 Ord Aug 25

WILSON, JAMES, and ERNEST ARTHUR GLADSTONE WILSON, Farsley, Drapers Bradford Pet Aug 24 Ord Aug 24

Amended Notice substituted for that published in the London Gazette of July 6th.

WILLIAMS, JOHN, Nantwich, Beerhouse Keeper Nantwich Pet July 1 Ord July 1

FIRST MEETINGS.

ARMSTRONG, JAMES, Willesden Sept 3 at 1 Bankruptcy bldgs, Carey st

BURNETT, CHARLES WILLIAM, Halifax, Butcher Sept 4 at 11 Off Rec, Townhall chmbrs, Halifax

BUTTRUM, JOHN PARMITER, Castle Headingham, Essex, Butcher Sept 20 at 11 Cups Hotel, Colchester

COLLISON, HENRY, jun, King's Lynn, Corn Merchant Sept 9 at 10 Court House, King's Lynn

COOPER, FRANK ASPLAND, Harborne, Birmingham, General Agent Sept 15 at 10.30 Off Rec, 8, King st, Norwich

COPP, JONAS, Exeter Sept 9 at 10 Off Rec, 13, Bedford circs, Exeter

COX, FREDERICK, Penygraig, Glam, Bankman Sept 3 at 3 65, High st, Merthyr Tydfil

FITCH, ARTHUR TREAT, Tokenhouse bldgs, Merchant Sept 3 at 11 Bankruptcy bldgs, Carey st

FLETCHER, JOHN TAYLOR, Salford, Lancs, Pork Butcher Sept 6 at 2.30 Off Rec, Byrom st, Manchester

GOOLD, CHARLES, Balham, Actor Sept 3 at 11.30 24, Railway app, London Bridge

HALLIMOND, WILLIAM HUGH, Sedgefield, Durham, Hotel Keeper Sept 3 at 3 Off Rec, 8, Albert rd, Middlesborough

HOOD, HENRY PAUL, Seething la Sept 3 at 11 Bankruptcy bldgs, Carey st

HOWE, ROBERT, Tilney All Saints, Norfolk, Dealer Sept 4 at 12 Off Rec, 8, King st, Norwich

HUGHES, EVAN, Rhiw, Blaenau Festiniog, Quarryman Sept 14 at 12 Townhall, Aberystwith

KING, HENRY, Thorpe St Andrew, Norfolk, Farmer Sept 4 at 12.30 Off Rec, 8, King st, Norwich

LANSDALE, WILLIAM EDWIN, Portsea, Hants, Fancy Dealer Sept 3 at 3 Off Rec, Cambridge Jncts, High st, Portsmouth

MARWOOD, ARTHUR, and WALTER MARK CROSS, Nottingham, Cycle Agents Sept 3 at 11 Off Rec, St Peter's church walk, Nottingham

MATHIAS, LEWIS, Hampstead, Music Publisher Sept 3 at 12 Bankruptcy bldgs, Carey st

OLSWANG, JACQUES, Waterloo, Lancs, Furniture Dealer Sept 7 at 12 Off Rec, 35, Victoria st, Liverpool

PIGGIN, JOHN, Wigan, Grocer Sept 3 at 3 Off Rec, Byrom st, Manchester

PLAISTOWE, EDWIN JAMES, and JAMES PLAISTOW, Great Grimsby, Smackowners Sept 3 at 11 Off Rec, 15, Osborne st, Great Grimsby

POWELL, JOHN, Manchester, Provision Merchant Sept 3 at 2.30 Off Rec, Byrom st, Manchester

REYNOLDS, JOHN OLIVER, jun, Heacham, Norfolk, Traveller Sept 9 at 10.15 Court house, King's Lynn

SPRAY, ERNEST HARRY, Nottingham, Brush Manufacturer Sept 3 at 12 Off Rec, St Peter's Church wk, Nottingham

THOMPSON, GEORGE, Brighton, Electrical Engineer Sept 3 at 12 Off Rec, 4, Pavilion bldgs, Brighton

TURNER, HENRY, York, Journeyman Joiner Sept 7 at 12.15 Off Rec, 28, Stonegate, York

VICKERS, EDWARD, Notting hill, Architect Sept 8 at 2.30 Bankruptcy bldgs, Carey st

WALLACE, WILLIAM, and HERBERT STOCKEN, Central Markets, Butchers Sept 3 at 12 Bankruptcy bldgs, Carey st

WITHERS, THOMAS AARON, Pewsey, Wilts Sept 8 at 11 Off Rec, 45, Cricklade st, Swindon

WOOD, MONTAGU, Duke st, St James's, Financial Agent Sept 8 at 12 Bankruptcy bldgs, Carey st

NOTICE OF DAY APPOINTED FOR PROCEEDING WITH PUBLIC EXAMINATION ADJOURNED *SINE DIE.*

HARE, PERCY, St George's sq High Court Proceed Sept 28 at 12.30

ADJUDICATIONS.

BLAMIRES, GEORGE, Cleckheaton, York, Engineer Bradford Pet Aug 12 Ord Aug 23

COLLISON, HENRY, jun, King's Lynn, Corn Merchant King's Lynn Pet Aug 18 Ord Aug 25

COOPER, FRANK ASPLAND, Harborne, Birmingham, General Agent Norwich Pet Aug 23 Ord Aug 24

COPP, JONAS, Exeter Exeter Pet Aug 23 Ord Aug 24

COX, MARION, Bradford, Dressmaker Bradford Pet Aug 23 Ord Aug 23

DEED, FRANKIN, and PHILIP DEED, High st, Bloomsbury, Leather Merchants High Court Pet July 13 Ord Aug 23

FITCH, ARTHUR TREAT, Tokenhouse bldgs, Merchant High Court Pet July 24 Ord Aug 24

FRANKLIN, WILLIAM, Southsea, Travelling Auctioneer Portsmouth Pet Aug 24 Ord Aug 25

GATES, JAMES, Cambridge, Hotel Proprietor Cambridge Pet Aug 5 Ord Aug 23

HAYES, JOHN, Blackburn, Insurance Agent Blackburn Pet Aug 23 Ord Aug 23

KITCHEN, THOMAS, Leeds Leeds Pet Aug 21 Ord Aug 21

MATHIAS, LEWIS, Hampstead, Music Publisher High Court Pet July 8 Ord Aug 24

MEAKIN, HENRY, Bulwell, Nottingham, Grocer Nottingham Pet Aug 24 Ord Aug 24

MOSS SAMUEL, Gloucester, Timber Merchant Gloucester Pet Aug 20 Ord Aug 23

NEBROW, BERNARD, Kingston upon Hull Kingston upon Hull Pet Aug 23 Ord Aug 23

ONIONS, GEORGE AUGUSTUS, Loughborough, Cycle Agent Leicester Pet Aug 23 Ord Aug 23

OWEN, CHARLES, Sheffield, Silversmith Sheffield Pet Aug 24 Ord Aug 24

PARRDY, JOHN KNOWLTON, Regent st, Hatter High Court Pet Aug 12 Ord Aug 24

REES, LLEWELLYN VOSPER, Bristol, Chemist, Bristol Pet Aug 12 Ord Aug 25

SPOONER, FREDERICK WILLIAM, Minories High Court Pet July 9 Ord Aug 23

WALTER, EDWARD FULLY, Woolwich, Jeweller Greenwich Pet July 19 Ord Aug 24

WEAVER, THOMAS, Fenton, Staffs, Grocer Stoke upon Trent Pet Aug 25 Ord Aug 25

WILSON, JAMES, and ERNEST ARTHUR GLADSTONE WILSON, Farsley, York, Drapers Bradford Pet Aug 24 Ord Aug 24

London Gazette.—TUESDAY, Aug. 31.

RECEIVING ORDERS.

ADAMS, JABEZ, West Glossop, Derby, Clothier Ashton under Lyne Pet Aug 21 Ord Aug 23

BELLAIRS, WALTER, Spitalfields Market, Potato Salesman High Court Pet Aug 28 Ord Aug 28

BOWRING, JOSEPH, Broomhill, Sheffield, Grocer Sheffield Pet Aug 27 Ord Aug 27

BROCK, JOHN, Plymouth, Cycle Agent Plymouth Pet Aug 14 Ord Aug 26

BURGESS, WILLIAM ALVERD, Stoke Newington Edmonton Pet Aug 26 Ord Aug 26

BUTTERFIELD, HENRY FRANCIS, Rotherham, Yorks, Grocer Sheffield Pet Aug 26 Ord Aug 26

CLARK, ROBERT BRUNTON, Voryd, Rhyl, Flint, Hotel Keeper Bangor Pet July 17 Ord Aug 27

CROSSLEY, JOHN CHARLES, Bradford Bradford Pet Aug 26 Ord Aug 26

DREW & SONS, Kentish town rd, Stationers High Court Pet Aug 9 Ord Aug 27

FARRAND, ALFRED, Sutton in Ashfield, Notts, Baker Nottingham Pet Aug 27 Ord Aug 27

HARDWIN, GUY HARDWIN GALLENGA, Llandogo, Mon Newport, Mon Pet July 21 Ord Aug 25

HERBER, SIDNEY, Coleman st High Court Pet July 2 Ord Aug 27

HILTON, ROBERT, Brockley, Kent, Journalist High Court Pet Aug 3 Ord Aug 27

HOOD, WILLIAM JAMES, Derby, Land Agent Derby Pet Aug 26 Ord Aug 26

HUDSON, WILLIAM, Shipley, York, Farmer Leeds Pet Aug 26 Ord Aug 26

KING, OWEN, Newcastle on Tyne, Draper Newcastle on Tyne Pet Aug 7 Ord Aug 26

LAMB, JAMES WILLIAM, Nantwich, Boot Manufacturer Nantwich Pet Aug 23 Ord Aug 28

LAVIS, ROBERT, Exeter, Butcher Exeter Pet Aug 26 Ord Aug 26

LIGHTWOOD, ALFRED HENRY, Bournemouth, Gunmaker Poole Pet Aug 26 Ord Aug 26

LLOYD, FRANK BENJAMIN, Cardiff, Fruit Merchant Cardiff Pet Aug 25 Ord Aug 25

MATALL, DERBYSHIRE, Manchester, Drysalter Manchester Pet Aug 26 Ord Aug 26

OWEN, HUMPHREY, Llangefni, Anglesey, Farmer Bangor Pet Aug 13 Ord Aug 27

PERKIN, MOSES, Harrogate, Jeweller York Pet Aug 26 Ord Aug 26

PETERS, PERCY FREDERICK, Cheltenham, Bootmaker Cheltenham Pet Aug 26 Ord Aug 26

RANDLE, WILLIAM JAMES, Hockley, Birmingham, Dealer in Tobacco Birmingham Pet Aug 16 Ord Aug 26

SMITH, ALFRED, East Dulwich, Commercial Traveller High Court Pet June 18 Ord Aug 26

SMITH, ARTHUR WILLIAM, Kettering, Fishmonger Northampton Pet Aug 26 Ord Aug 26

SMITH, HENRY, Heeley, Sheffield, Foreman Mechanic Sheffield Pet Aug 27 Ord Aug 27
SOUTHALL, CHARLES HENRY, Leeds, Boot Manufacturer Leeds Pet Aug 11 Ord Aug 25
SOUTHARD, FRANCIS CHARLES, Southampton, Cycle Factor Southampton Pet Aug 27 Ord Aug 27
STOKES, ROBERT ALEXANDER, Camberwell High Court Pet July 19 Ord Aug 26
TASMAN, GEORGE THOMAS, and CHARLES HENRY TASMAN, Lee, Kent, Packing-case Manufacturers High Court Pet Aug 27 Ord Aug 27
WRIGHTMAN, DANIEL, Bradford, Overlooker Bradford Pet Aug 25 Ord Aug 26
WILKINSON, AUGUSTUS FREDERICK, Southsea, Hants High Court Pet July 30 Ord Aug 26
WILKINSON, J D, and ISAAC MILES, Shadwell High Court Pet July 9 Ord Aug 26
WILLIAMS, CHARLES PROSSER, Merthyr Tydfil, Grocer Merthyr Tydfil Pet Aug 27 Ord Aug 27
WRIGHT, WILLIAM THOMAS, Woodford, Essex, Brewer High Court Pet Aug 9 Ord Aug 26

Amended notice substituted for that published in the London Gazette of August 27:

ULRICH, ALFRED WILLIAM, Canonbury, Commercial Traveller Barnet Pet Aug 23 Ord Aug 23

FIRST MEETINGS.

ATKINSON, PAUL, Goole, Yorks, Cooper Sept 7 at 11.30 Off Rec, Bond terr, Wakefield
BARRETT, FREDERICK, Yeovil, Fish Hawker Sept 8 at 1 Off Rec, Salisbury
BECKLEY, FREDERICK, Upper Kennington ln, Horse Dealer Sept 7 at 12 Bankruptcy bldgs, Carey st
BRENNAN, PETER, Preston, Shoemaker Oct 8 at 2.30 Off Rec, 14, Chapel st, Preston
BROCK, JOHN, Plymouth, Cycle Agent Sept 7 at 11 Off Rec, 10, Athenæum terr, Plymouth
COX, MARION, Bradford, Dressmaker Sept 7 at 11 Off Rec, 31, Manor row, Bradford
EAMES, SAMUEL GEORGE, Bath, Watchmaker Sept 10 at 12.30 Off Rec, Whitehall chmbrs, 25, Colmore row, Birmingham
EAST, FRANK, Bedford Sept 7 at 10.30 Off Rec, 1A, St Paul's sq, Bedford
ELLIS, JOHN, Bangor, Sailmaker Sept 8 at 12.15 Ship Hotel, Bangor
FRANKLIN, WILLIAM, Southsea, Travelling Auctioneer Sept 7 at 3 Off Rec, Cambridge Junction, High st, Portsmouth
GILLION, GEORGE ARTHUR, Beal, York, Butcher Sept 7 at 11 Off Rec, 6, Bond ter, Wakefield
GRIFFITH, WILLIAM, Menai Bridge, Anglesey, Coal Dealer Sept 8 at 12.30 Ship Hotel, Bangor
HELY-HUTCHINSON, F W, Bedford Sept 7 at 3.30 24, Railway app, London Bridge
HOGG, WILLIAM JAMES, Derby, Land Agent Sept 9 at 11 Off Rec, 40, St Mary's gt, Derby
HUGHES, EVAN, Blaenau Festiniog, Merioneth, Grocer Sept 21 at 11 Railway Hotel, Blaenau Festiniog
JAMES, JOHONADAB, Abertillery, Mon, Boot Dealer Sept 7 at 12 65, High st, Merthyr Tydfil
JOY, FREDERICK, Starminster Marshall, Dorset, Saddler Sept 7 at 12.30 Off Rec, Salisbury
LAYCOCK, A H, Weymouth st, Portland pl, Lodging House Keeper Sept 8 at 12 Bankruptcy bldgs, Carey st
MARKE, EDWARD GEORGE, Gravelly Hill, Warwickshire, Chemist Sept 8 at 11 23, Colmore row, Birmingham
MEAKIN, HENRY, Bulwell, Nottingham, Grocer Sept 7 at 12 Off Rec, St Peter's Church walk, Nottingham
MITCHELL, FRANCIS, Bangor, Painter Sept 8 at 12.45 Ship Hotel, Bangor
MORTON, H, Deptford Bridge, Kent, Chemist Sept 8 at 11.30 24, Railway approach, London Bridge
OLDING, DANIEL JOHN THOMAS, Battersea, Builder Sept 8 at 12.30 24, Railway approach, London Bridge
ONIONS, GEORGE AUGUSTUS, Loughborough, Cycle Agent Sept 7 at 12 30 Off Rec, 1, Berridge st, Leicester
PAFFORD, CHARLES JOHN, and FREDERICK ROSSITER, Blandford, Dorset, Cycle Agents Sept 7 at 1 Off Rec, Salisbury
PAGE, JOHN, Rhayader, Radnor, Baker Sept 8 at 1.30 Off Rec, Llandidloes
PEARSON, GEORGE, Church, Lancs Sept 7 at 2.30 Off Rec, 14, Chapel st, Preston
PERKIN, MOSES, Harrogate, Jeweller Sept 9 at 12.30 Off Rec, 28, Stonegate, York
REECE, JOHN ALOYSA, Bristol, Cage Maker Sept 8 at 12 Off Rec, Baldwin st, Bristol
SMITH, ALFRED, East Dulwich, Commercial Traveller Sept 10 at 12 Bankruptcy bldgs, Carey st
STOKES, ROBERT ALEXANDER, Camberwell Sept 9 at 11 Bankruptcy bldgs, Carey st
SWAIN, CAROLINE, Luton, Beds, Baker Sept 9 at 11 Off Rec, 1A, St Paul's sq, Bedford
TASMAN, GEORGE THOMAS, and CHARLES HENRY TASMAN, Lee, Kent, Packing Case Manufacturers Sept 8 at 11 Bankruptcy bldgs, Carey st
ULRICH, ALFRED WILLIAM, Canonbury, Commercial Traveller Sept 7 at 3 Off Rec, 95, Temple chmbrs, Temple avenue
WILKINSON, AUGUSTUS FREDERICK, Southsea, Hants Sept 10 at 11 Bankruptcy bldgs, Carey st
WORTHINGTON, WILLIAM, Liverpool, Grocer Sept 8 at 1 Off Rec, 35, Victoria st, Liverpool
WRIGHT, WILLIAM THOMAS, Woodford, Essex, Brewer Sept 9 at 2.30 Bankruptcy bldgs, Carey st

ADJUDICATIONS.

BELLAIRS, WALTER, King's Cross, Potato Salesman High Court Pet Aug 26 Ord Aug 26
BOWRING, JOSEPH, Broomhill, Sheffield, Grocer Sheffield Pet Aug 27 Ord Aug 27
BUTTERFIELD, HENRY FRANCIS, Rotherham, Yorks, Grocer Sheffield Pet Aug 26 Ord Aug 26
CROWLEY, JOHN CHARLES, Bradford Bradford Pet Aug 26 Ord Aug 26
DUGDALE, RICHARD SWARBRICK, Huddersfield, Civil Engineer Huddersfield Pet Aug 4 Ord Aug 25
FARRAND, ALFRED, Sutton in Ashfield, Notts, Baker Nottingham Pet Aug 27 Ord Aug 27
FOLKS, WILLIAM, Bermondsey New rd, Licensed Victualler High Court Pet May 27 Ord Aug 25
HICKS, JOHN JAMES, Ilford, Essex, Builder Chelmsford Pet July 23 Ord Aug 24
HOGG, WILLIAM JAMES, Derby, Land Agent Derby Pet Aug 26 Ord Aug 26
HORDEN, HENRY HUSKISSON, Kettering, Boot Manufacturer Northampton Pet July 23 Ord Aug 18
HUDSON, WILLIAM, Shipley, York, Farmer Leeds Pet Aug 26 Ord Aug 26
LAVIS, ROBERT, Exeter, Butcher Exeter Pet Aug 25 Ord Aug 28
LLOYD, FRANK BENJAMIN, Cardiff, Fruit Merchant Cardiff Pet Aug 25 Ord Aug 25
MAYALL, DERBYSHIRE, Manchester, Chemical Merchant Manchester Pet Aug 26 Ord Aug 26
OLDING, DANIEL JOHN THOMAS, Battersea, Builder Wandsworth Pet July 12 Ord Aug 27
PARKER, GEORGE, Woodville, Leicester, Furniture Dealer Leicester Pet Aug 3 Ord Aug 25
PERKIN, MOSES, Harrogate, Jeweller York Pet Aug 25 Ord Aug 26
PETERS, PERCY FREDERICK, Cheltenham, Bootmaker Cheltenham Pet Aug 25 Ord Aug 26
PERCY, GEORGE JAMES, Branksome, Dorset, Solicitor Poole Pet Aug 27 Ord Aug 27
REED, JOHN HENRY, and WILLIAM REED, West Hartlepool, Grocers Sunderland Pet July 7 Ord Aug 26
ROSSNER, CHARLES, and CHARLES HARRY KING, New Broad st, Investment Brokers High Court Pet March 18 Ord Aug 25
SMITH, HENRY, Heeley, Sheffield, Foreman Mechanic Sheffield Pet Aug 27 Ord Aug 27
SMITH, ARTHUR WILLIAM, Kettering, Fishmonger Northampton Pet Aug 26 Ord Aug 26
SOUTHARD, FRANCIS CHARLES, Southampton, Cycle Factor Southampton Pet Aug 27 Ord Aug 27
STOCKEN, HERBERT, Central Market, Butcher High Court Pet July 28 Ord Aug 19
TASMAN, GEORGE THOMAS, and CHARLES HENRY TASMAN, Lee, Kent, Packing Case Makers High Court Pet Aug 27 Ord Aug 27
ULRICH, ALFRED WILLIAM, Canonbury, Commercial Traveller Barnet Pet Aug 23 Ord Aug 27
WALLACE, WILLIAM, Central Market, Butcher High Court Pet July 28 Ord Aug 27
WRIGHTMAN, DANIEL, Calverley, Yorks, Overlooker Bradford Pet Aug 24 Ord Aug 26
WHEELER, EDWARD JOHN, Leominster, Ironmonger Leominster Pet July 5 Ord Aug 26
WILLIAMS, CHARLES PROSSER, Merthyr Tydfil, Grocer Merthyr Tydfil Pet Aug 27 Ord Aug 27
WITKOWSKI, JULIUS, Marylebone High Court Pet June 1 Ord Aug 27

ADJUDICATION ANNULLED.

FORBES, JOHN, Pine rd, Cricklewood High Court Adjud March 26, 1897 Annul Aug 26

All letters intended for publication in the "Solicitors' Journal" must be authenticated by the name of the writer.

Where difficulty is experienced in procuring the Journal with regularity, it is requested that application be made direct to the Publisher.

LAW PARTNERSHIP or SUCCESSION wanted in London or Surrey.—Address, A. C., "Solicitors' Journal" Office, Chancery-lane W.C.

LAW.—County Court.—Registrar's Clerk desires Change; seven years in present situation; undertake Management of Country Office; would accept appointment in large Court; highest references; fair salary.—THOMAS CHAPPELL, Chepstow.

SOLICITORS or INVESTORS may Purchase for £2,000 a very valuable piece of Freehold Building Land, surrounded by good houses, that will readily produce Ground-rents worth at least £4,350.—Write, FREEHOLDER, Willing's, 162, Piccadilly, W.

TO SOLICITORS.—Medical Woman desires Resident Patient, lady or child, mental or otherwise; mild climate; sunny house; every care and comfort; legal references.—For terms address MEDICA, 60, Park-place, Cardiff.

ASPATRIA AGRICULTURAL COLLEGE (viâ Carlisle), situated in one of the finest Stock-raising districts of the country. Practical and scientific instruction. Success in Examinations. Preparation for colonies. Six farms, creamery, workshops.
J. SMITH HILL, B.A., B.Sc., Principal.

EASTBOURNE.—Vacancy in small High-class Preparatory School for a Boy under 13; must be the son of a gentleman; to be filled at 12 guineas per term (inclusive).—Address, HEADMASTER, Nevill House, Hartfield-square.

THE MOST NUTRITIOUS COCOA.
EPPS'S
GRATEFUL-COMFORTING.
COCOA
FOR BREAKFAST AND SUPPER.

ORIENT COMPANY'S PLEASURE CRUISES by the
Steamship "GARONNE," 3,901 tons register.
For LISBON, MALAGA, VILLA FRANCA, PALERMO, SAN TORIN, BEYROUT (for Damascus), HAIFA, JAFFA (for Jerusalem, &c.), ALEXANDRIA (for Cairo, &c.), MALTA, and GIBRALTAR,
Leaving London 6th October, arriving back 30th November.
Managers: F. Green & Co.; Anderson, Anderson, & Co.
Head Offices: Fenchurch-avenue.
For passage apply to the latter firm at 5, Fenchurch-avenue, London, E.C.; or to the West-End Branch Office, 16, Cockspur-street, S.W.

EDE AND SON,
ROBE MAKERS.
BY SPECIAL APPOINTMENT
To Her Majesty, the Lord Chancellor, the Whole of the Judicial Bench, Corporation of London, &c.
ROBES FOR QUEEN'S COUNSEL AND BARRISTERS.
SOLICITORS' GOWNS.
Law Wigs and Gowns for Registrars, Town Clerks, and Clerks of the Peace.
Corporation Robes, University and Clergy Gowns.
ESTABLISHED 1689.
94, CHANCERY LANE, LONDON.

M. W. EDGLEY, 40 & 41, Fleet-st.
AMERICAN ROLL TOP DESKS.
M. W. Edgley, 40 & 41, Fleet-st.
AMERICAN ROLL TOP DESKS.
M. W. Edgley, 40 & 41, Fleet-st,
AMERICAN ROLL TOP DESKS.
M. W. Edgley, 40 & 41, Fleet-st.

TREATMENT OF INEBRIETY.
DALRYMPLE HOME
RICKMANSWORTH, HERTS.
For Gentlemen, under the Act and privately.
For Terms, &c., apply to
R. WELSH BRANTHWAITE,
Medical Superintendent.

TREATMENT of INEBRIETY and ABUSE of DRUGS.
HIGH SHOT HOUSE,
ST. MARGARET'S, TWICKENHAM.
For Gentlemen under the Acts and privately. Terms, 2½ to 4 Guineas.
Apply to Medical Superintendent,
F. BROMHEAD, B.A., M.B. (Camb.), M.R.C.S. (Eng.)

INEBRIETY.
MELBOURNE HOUSE, LEICESTER.
PRIVATE HOME FOR LADIES.
Medical Attendant: CHAS. J. BOND, F.R.C.S. Eng., L.R.C.P. Lond. Principal: H. M. RILEY, Assoc. Soc. Study of Inebriety. Thirty years' Experience. Excellent Legal and Medical References. For terms and particulars apply Miss RILEY, or the Principal.

BRAND & CO.'S SPECIALTIES FOR INVALIDS.
ESSENCE OF BEEF, BEEF TEA, MEAT JUICE, &c.,
Prepared from finest ENGLISH MEATS
Of all Chemists and Grocers.
BRAND & CO., MAYFAIR, W., & MAYFAIR WORKS, VAUXHALL, LONDON, S.W.